# **Recessive Inheritance of Obesity in Familial Non – Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus, and Lack of Linkage to Nine Candidate Genes**

Sandra J. Hasstedt,<sup>1</sup> Michael Hoffman,<sup>3</sup> Mark F. Leppert,<sup>1</sup> and Steven C. Elbein<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Genetics and <sup>2</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, University of Utah, and <sup>3</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Diabetes, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Salt Lake City

Segregation analysis of body-mass index (BMI) sup-<br>
ported recessive inheritance of obesity, in pedigrees as-<br>
certained through siblings with non–insulin dependent<br>
laabetes mellitus (NIDDM). BMI was estimated as 39<br>
lag

Obesity is one of the strongest risk factors for non-<br>insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) (Hansen Other obesity candidate genes play a role in lipolysis, insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) (Hansen 1995). In rodents, obesity and diabetes co-occur as glycogen synthesis, and insulin resistance. The  $\beta_3$ -adren-<br>pleiotropic effects of several genetic defects (Bouchard ergic receptor is thought to affect fatty-acid mob 1995). Likewise, in humans, obesity and NIDDM may (Emorine et al. 1994). Lipoprotein lipase (Eckel 1989) co-occur as pleiotropic effects of a single gene. On the and hepatic triglyceride lipase are thought to provide<br>other hand, lean individuals also develop NIDDM, in-<br>fatty acid for storage in adipose tissue. Glycogen synother hand, lean individuals also develop NIDDM, including lean relatives of obese NIDDM patients. Conse- thase is the rate-limiting insulin-sensing enzyme in gluquently, another possibility is that obesity, regardless cose storage (Felber et al. 1993). Tumor necrosis factor of cause, increases the risk of NIDDM in susceptible  $\alpha$  plays a role in the insulin resistance of obesity and of individuals. Therefore, the inherited obesity expressed NIDDM (Hotamisligil and Spiegelman 1994). individuals. Therefore, the inherited obesity expressed in pedigrees selected through cases of NIDDM may re- In this study, we used segregation analysis to test for sult from genes that predispose for both NIDDM and major-locus inheritance of obesity in 42 pedigrees ascer-

668

**Summary Summary obesity, from genes that predispose only for obesity, or** 

man homologue of the mouse *agouti* gene (*ASP*), and yet to be identified, the human homologues of mouse<br>the genes for leptin (*OB*), the leptin receptor (*OBR/DB*),<br>the  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor (*ADRB3*), lipoprotein and the leptin receptor, respectively; a recessive muta-<br>tion in either produces obesity and strain-specific diabe-

ergic receptor is thought to affect fatty-acid mobilization

tained through siblings with NIDDM. Then, we tested For linkage between obesity and nine obesity candidate<br>Received November 5, 1996; accepted for publication June 6, 1997.<br>Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Sandra J. Hasstedt,<br>University of Utah, Department of Hu Room 2100, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-5330. E-mail: sandy@sapporo *agouti* gene (*ASP*), and the genes for leptin (*OB*), the enetics.utah.edu leptin receptor (*OBR/DB*), the β<sub>3</sub>-adrenergic receptor<br>\*Present affiliation: John L. McClellan Memorial Veterans Affairs (*ADRB3*), lipoprotein lipase (*LPL*), hepatic lipase Hospital and University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock.<br>
© 1997 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.<br>  $(LIPC)$ , glycogen synthase (GYS), and tumor necrosis<br>  $0002-9297/97/6103-0026$$ 

<sup>0002-9297/97/6103-0026\$02.00</sup> 

65 years and at most one parent known to have the likelihood was approximated (Hasstedt 1993). NIDDM. First-, second-, and third-degree relatives of The parameters of the model included the total mean the probands were studied when available. All sample ( $\mu$ ), the total standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), the frequency of members were of northern European ancestry. We mea-<br>the allele determining high BMI at locus L ( $q_L$ ), the members were of northern European ancestry. We mea-<br>sured height and weight and performed a standard 2-h, inance at locus L  $(d_1)$ , the displacement at locus L  $(t_1)$ , 75-g oral glucose-tolerance test with fasting and 1-h insulin levels. We computed the body-mass index (BMI) transmission probabilities ( $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ ) for the three as weight divided by height squared. Glucose level was genotypes at one locus (Boyle and Elston 1 measured by a standard glucose oxidase assay; one of et al. 1983). Displacement is the difference, in within-<br>two laboratories measured insulin levels by double-anti-enotype SDs, between the means for the two types of body radioimmunoassay. BMI and fasting, 1-h, and 2- homozygotes. Dominance is the difference between the h glucose levels were age- and gender-adjusted by use mean for heterozygotes and the mean for homozygotes, of regression; fasting and 1-h insulin levels were adjusted for low BMI relative to the displacement. We assumed for age, gender, and the testing laboratory, by use of additivity of displacement across loci; that is, for a two-<br>regression. BMI measurements were available for a total locus model, the displacement for both loci togethe regression. BMI measurements were available for a total locus model, the displacement for both loci together of 616 individuals, within the range of 1–37 individuals equaled the sum of the displacement at locus 1 and at of 616 individuals, within the range of  $1-37$  individuals equaled the sum of the displacement at locus 1 and at per pedigree. Each participant in the study gave in-<br>locus 2. The polygenic heritability is the proportion o formed consent. This study was approved by the Institu- the variance within major-locus genotypes, owing to tional Review Board of the University of Utah Health polygenic inheritance. Mendelian inheritance specifies  $\tau_1$ <br>Sciences Center, Salt Lake City.  $= 1, \tau_2 = .5$ , and  $\tau_3 = 0$ .

Microsatellite markers were amplified from 60 ng of DNA, by use of  $\gamma^{32}P$ ]-labeled primer. Autoradiographs<br>were read by two individuals. Consistency of scoring sample of  $\sim$ 100 unrelated individuals, most of whom are spouses of pedigree members.

maxima, using NPSOL (Gill et al. 1986). We corrected for the ascertainment of each pedigree, through a sib approximated a  $\chi^2$  distribution. The  $\chi^2$  test had df equal to the number of parameters restricted when the submo-

**Subjects and Methods** and **Methods** and **alleles** in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The polygenic and random environmental components were assumed We ascertained 42 pedigrees that met our criteria of to be normally distributed within genotypes. When the at least two siblings with onset of NIDDM before age model included polygenes and one or more major loci. model included polygenes and one or more major loci,

> inance at locus L  $(d_L)$ , the displacement at locus L  $(t_L)$ , polygenic heritability  $(h^2)$ , and parent-to-offspring genotypes at one locus (Boyle and Elston 1979; Lalouel genotype SDs, between the means for the two types of locus 2. The polygenic heritability is the proportion of

We inferred major loci sequentially. We first tested for one major locus by specifying the most general model, were read by two individuals. Consistency of scoring through the parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $b^2$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and was maintained by the running of control samples across  $\tau$ . When one locus was infe was maintained by the running of control samples across  $\tau_3$ . When one locus was inferred, we tested for a second all gels. Allele frequencies were estimated by use of a locus by specifying the most general model, throu locus by specifying the most general model, through the parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $b^2$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ ; e spouses of pedigree members.<br>We used likelihood analysis to test for major-locus assuming Mendelian transmission at locus 1; and by assuming Mendelian transmission at locus 1; and by inheritance of high levels of BMI. We computed the applying  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$  to locus 2. When two loci were likelihoods of the genetic models (Elston and Stewart inferred, we tested for a third locus by specifyi inferred, we tested for a third locus by specifying the 1971), using PAP (Hasstedt 1994), and obtained the most general model, through the parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $q_3$ ,  $d_3$ ,  $t_3$ ,  $b^2$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ ; by fixing  $d_1$  and for the ascertainment of each pedigree, through a sib  $d_2$  to their estimates in the two-locus model; by assuming pair with NIDDM and through a parent not known to Mendelian transmission at locus 1 and at locus 2: and Mendelian transmission at locus 1 and at locus 2; and have NIDDM, by dividing each pedigree likelihood by by applying  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$  to locus 3. The test of no<br>the likelihood of the measured BMI for these individuals major locus L compared the likelihood of  $q_1 =$ the likelihood of the measured BMI for these individuals major locus L compared the likelihood of  $q_L = 0$  to the (Young et al. 1988). We tested significance using  $\chi^2$  sta-<br>likelihood of  $q_L$  estimated, with the restric (Young et al. 1988). We tested significance using  $\chi^2$  sta-<br>tistics. Under certain conditions, the natural logarithm = 1,  $\tau_2 = .5$ , and  $\tau_3 = 0$ . For the first locus, this test tistics. Under certain conditions, the natural logarithm  $= 1$ ,  $\tau_2 = .5$ , and  $\tau_3 = 0$ . For the first locus, this test of the ratio of the likelihood of a submodel relative to compared the likelihood of a one-locus mode compared the likelihood of a one-locus model to the the likelihood of a general model, multiplied by  $-2$ , likelihood of a polygenic model; for the second locus, approximated a  $\chi^2$  distribution. The  $\chi^2$  test had df equal this test compared the likelihood of a two-loc to the likelihood of a one-locus model; and for the third del was specified from the general model.<br>The genetic model used in the analysis specified each model to the likelihood of a two-locus model. The tests model to the likelihood of a two-locus model. The tests phenotype as the sum of independent effects attributed of Mendelian transmission and of environmental nonto the segregation of alleles at major loci, the transmis-<br>sion, at locus L, compared the likelihood of  $\tau_1$ <br>sion of polygenes, and random factors specific to the = 1,  $\tau_2$  = .5, and  $\tau_3$  = 0 and the likelihood of 1 sion of polygenes, and random factors specific to the  $= 1$ ,  $\tau_2 = .5$ , and  $\tau_3 = 0$  and the likelihood of 1 individual. This analysis extended to multiple loci the  $-q_1 = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3$ , respectively, with the likelihood individual. This analysis extended to multiple loci the  $-q_L = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3$ , respectively, with the likelihood standard mixed model that includes a single major locus of estimated  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ . We inferred m standard mixed model that includes a single major locus of estimated  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ , and  $\tau_3$ . We inferred major-locus and polygenes (Elston and Stewart 1971; Morton and inheritance when we rejected the hypotheses of no inheritance when we rejected the hypotheses of no major MacLean 1974). We assumed each major locus had two locus and of environmental nontransmission but did not

reject Mendelian transmission. The tests of recessivity statistic indicates that the estimated transmission proba-

(Hasstedt and Moll 1989) to estimate the effects of the BMI major loci on other variables. To use GPEs, one partially assigns a genotype to each individual, using a sity locus." genotypic probability  $p_{ij}$ —that is, the probability that Allowing for the extreme-obesity locus, we obtained person *i* has genotype *j*—which equals the relative like- evidence consistent with the existence of an additional lihood of the genetic model conditioning on person *i* locus with a more moderate effect, by rejecting the hyhaving genotype *j*. The parameters of the model were fixed at their maximum-likelihood estimates for the infixed at their maximum-likelihood estimates for the in-<br>ferred genetic model. We then estimated the number of  $= 1.26$ ;  $P > .05$ ), although we failed to reject environferred genetic model. We then estimated the number of = 1.26; *P* > .05), although we failed to reject environ-<br>individuals with each genotype, within subgroups of mental nontransmission ( $\chi^2_{(3)}$  = 5.00; *P* = .17). Th individuals with each genotype, within subgroups of mental nontransmission ( $\chi^2_{(3)} = 5.00$ ; *P* = .17). The the sample, as  $n_i = \sum_i p_{ij}$  and the genotypic mean of transmission probabilities were estimated as  $\tau_1 = .893$ the sample, as  $n_j = \sum_i p_{ij}$  and the genotypic mean of transmission probabilities were estimated as  $\tau_1 = .893$  variable x as  $\hat{\mu}_j = \sum_i p_{ij}(x_i/n_j)$ , where  $x_i$  equals the value  $\pm .093$ ,  $\tau_2 = .541 \pm .080$ , and  $\tau_3 = .034 \pm$ variable *x* as  $\hat{\mu}_j = \sum_i p_{ij}(x_i/n_j)$ , where  $x_i$  equals the value  $\pm .093$ ,  $\tau_2 = .541 \pm .080$ , and  $\tau_3 = .034 \pm .040$ . We of variable *x* measured for person *i* and where the sum-<br>inferred recessive inheritance by reject mation is over all members of the subgroup. The esti- $(\chi^2_{(1)} = 11.43; P < .001)$  and by not rejecting recessivity mates should be interpreted with caution; the assumption of independence is violated if residual genetic variation is present. Sity locus."

genes, using the pseudomultipoint procedure in FAST- allowing for a moderate-obesity locus. Again, we re-MAP (Curtis and Gurling 1993) on single-marker LOD jected environmental nontransmission  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 19.46; P$  scores computed by PAP (Hasstedt 1994). The PAP < .001), while failing to reject Mendelian transmission scores computed by PAP (Hasstedt 1994). The PAP  $\langle .001 \rangle$ , while failing to reject Mendelian transmission<br>LOD scores assumed the parameter estimates for the  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 6.29; P > .05)$ . The transmission probabilities LOD scores assumed the parameter estimates for the  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 6.29; P > .05)$ . The transmission probabilities inferred two-locus genetic model for BMI and were com-<br>were estimated as  $\tau_1 = .911 \pm .059$ ,  $\tau_2 = .411 \pm .132$ , puted for recombination fractions of 0% and 10%, for and  $\tau_3 = .000$ .<br>each obesity locus and each marker. In addition, we No evidence for a third locus determining BMI was each obesity locus and each marker. In addition, we

portion of linked pedigrees and  $L_i(c)$  represents the anti-

failed to be rejected ( $\chi^2_{(3)} = 5.74$ ;  $P > .05$ ). This last  $\chi^2$ 

and of dominance, at locus L, compared the likelihood bilities of  $\tau_1 = .908 \pm .043$ ,  $\tau_2 = .410 \pm .073$ , and  $\tau_3$  of  $d_L = 0$  and the likelihood of  $d_L = 1$ , respectively, = .000 did not differ significantly from the Mende of  $d_L = 0$  and the likelihood of  $d_L = 1$ , respectively,  $= .000$  did not differ significantly from the Mendelian with the likelihood of  $d_L$  estimated, with the restriction probabilities of 1, .5, and 0, respectively. We i probabilities of 1, .5, and 0, respectively. We inferred that  $\tau_1 = 1$ ,  $\tau_2 = .5$ , and  $\tau_3 = 0$ .<br>We used genotypic probability estimators (GPFs) = 46.47;  $P < 0.001$ ) and by not rejecting recessivity We used genotypic probability estimators (GPEs)  $= 46.47; P < .0001$ ) and by not rejecting recessivity  $(\chi^2_{(1)} = 2.01; P > .05)$ . We designated this locus, with displacement estimated as 3.23 SDs, the "extreme-obe-

> pothesis of no second locus ( $\chi^2_{(3)} = 32.74$ ; *P* < .0001), inferred recessive inheritance by rejecting dominance  $(\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.00; P > .05)$ . We designated this locus, with displacement estimated as 2.20 SDs, the "moderate-obe-

We tested for linkage to each of the obesity candidate We retested for the extreme-obesity locus, while were estimated as  $\tau_1 = .911 \pm .059$ ,  $\tau_2 = .411 \pm .132$ , and  $\tau_3 = .000$ .

used MAPMAKER/SIBS (Kruglyak and Lander 1995) obtained  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 0.64; P > .05)$ . The two-locus recessive to compute multipoint LOD scores, using maximum- model accounted for 68% of the variance in BMI. The model accounted for  $68\%$  of the variance in BMI. The likelihood variance estimation and multipoint *Z* scores, remaining 32% of the variance was attributed to ranusing a nonparametric quantitative-trait-loci (QTL) dom environmental effects specific to each individual; method; this analysis required splitting the pedigrees we did not include in the model an environmental effect into nuclear families. Shared by siblings, since no siblings in this adult sample We used likelihood analysis and the admixture model currently cohabit. The maximum-likelihood estimates, (Smith 1961; Ott 1983) to estimate the proportion of with standard errors, for the two-locus recessive model linked pedigrees and to compute the heterogeneity LOD for standardized BMI were  $q_M = .423 \pm .030$ ,  $q_E = .284$  score. The heterogeneity LOD score equaled LOD<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>  $\pm .008$ ,  $t_M = 2.20 \pm 0.23$ ,  $t_E = 4.47 \pm 0.18$ , and  $h^2$ score. The heterogeneity LOD score equaled  $\text{LOD}_{\alpha}$   $\pm .008$ ,  $t_M = 2.20 \pm 0.23$ ,  $t_E = 4.47 \pm 0.18$ , and  $h^2$ <br>=  $\Sigma_i \text{log}_{10}[\alpha L_i(c) + (1 - \alpha)]$ , where  $\alpha$  represents the pro- = .000, where subscripts "M" and "E" designate = .000, where subscripts "M" and "E" designate the moderate-obesity and the extreme-obesity loci, respeclog of the pseudomultipoint LOD score for pedigree *i,* tively. A heritability estimate of 33% for the one-locus at location *c,* and where the summation is over all pedi- model agreed with other analyses of BMI; the addition grees. **of a second locus accounted for that genetic variation** and reduced the estimate to .000.

Table 1 shows that the homozygosity of the inferred **Results** genes, for moderate obesity and for extreme obesity, Evidence supporting major-locus inheritance of obe- resulted in a mean BMI of 32 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and of 39 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. sity was derived first from the rejection of the hypothesis respectively. Our assumption of additive displacement of no major locus  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 101.37; P < .0001)$  and then across loci required that, over the normal genotype, the <sup>2</sup><br>from the rejection of environmental nontransmission increase in BML owing to the homozygosity of both from the rejection of environmental nontransmission increase in BMI owing to the homozygosity of both  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 16.17; P = .001)$ , while Mendelian transmission genes equal the sum of the increases owing to the homo-<br>failed to be rejected  $(\chi^2_{(3)} = 5.74; P > .05)$ . This last  $\chi^2$  zygosity of each gene alone. Although this as zygosity of each gene alone. Although this assumption

## **Table 1**

### **Number, Means, and Percentages, by Gender and Genotype Class, for the Complete Sample**



<sup>a</sup> Data were estimated by use of GPEs; the BMI was adjusted to a male of age 30 years.  $N = two-locus$  genotypes that are not homozygous for either obesity locus;  $M = two$ -locus genotypes that are homozygous at the moderate-obesity locus but not at the extreme-obesity locus; and  $E =$  two-locus genotypes that are homozygous at the extreme-obesity locus but not at the moderate-obesity locus. The two-locus genotype that is homozygous at both loci is not included, because of small numbers.

\* *P* õ .01; \*\* *P* õ .001; \*\*\* *P* õ .0001. All *P* values were determined by a one-tail *t*-test compared with the previous genotype group, without correction for multiple testing.

locus model predicted that nine individuals with a mean 38 pedigree members were either not studied or the diag-BMI of 47 kg/m<sup>2</sup> would be homozygous for both genes, nosis was equivocal. Table 1 shows that both inferred which is in close agreement with the nine individuals obesity genes double the prevalence of NIDDM. Alwith a mean BMI of 48 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, estimated from the data (results not shown). Figure 1 compares the four inferred members homozygous for the moderate-obesity gene distributions to the sample distribution. and earlier still in those members homozygous for the

was not tested, the parameter estimates from the two-<br>showed no symptoms of NIDDM; and the remaining though not significant, age at onset was earlier in those A total of 162 members of these pedigrees were diag- extreme-obesity gene. When the sample was restricted nosed with NIDDM; another 416 pedigree members to the 244 pedigree members of age  $\geq 50$  years, a higher



**Figure 1** Distribution of BMI in the sample (bars) and for the inferred genetic model (four smooth curves)



## **Table 2**





<sup>a</sup> Means were estimated by use of GPEs on natural logarithm – transformed measurements, adjusted to a male of age 30 years, then transformed to the original scale by use of the lognormal mean.  $N = two$ -locus genotypes that are not homozygous for either obesity locus;  $M = two$ -locus genotypes that are homozygous at the moderate-obesity locus but not at the extreme-obesity locus; and  $E = two$  -locus genotypes that are homozygous at the extreme-obesity locus but not at the moderate-obesity locus. The genotype that is homozygous at both loci is not included, because of small numbers.

\* *P* õ .05; \*\* *P* õ .01; \*\*\* *P* õ .001; \*\*\*\* *P* õ .0001. All *P* values were determined by a one-tail *t*-test compared with the previous genotype group, by use of natural logarithm – transformed measurements, without correction for multiple testing.

prevalence of NIDDM was estimated for those members sole moderate-obesity gene and almost excluded the members homozygous for the moderate-obesity gene. the candidate genes being one of multiple extreme- or

crease fasting and 1-h insulin levels and 1-h and 2-h heterogeneity LOD score of 1.09 (table 5) for *ASP* and glucose levels but not fasting glucose levels. The effect for the moderate-obesity locus, provided little support. glucose levels. a role for any of the candidate genes in the determination

Table 3 lists the obesity candidate genes, and table 4 of BMI (table 5). lists the corresponding genetic markers used in the linkage analysis. The markers had 6-15 alleles, with an **Discussion** average of 10 alleles, and heterozygosity was within the range of 55%-88%, with an average of 76%. LOD The inference, in this study, of recessive inheritance scores ranging from  $-16.16$  to  $-4.29$  (table 5) excluded of obesity agrees with other segregation analyses (Price all nine candidate genes as the sole extreme-obesity gene, et al. 1990; Moll et al. 1991; Ness et al. 199

### **Table 3**

**Designations and Locations of the Obesity Candidate Genes/Regions Tested for Linkage**

Candidate Gene/Region Symbol Location Reference Leptin receptor *OBR/DB* 1p31-1pter Tartaglia et al. 1995 Tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ <br> *TNFA* 6p21.3 Nedwin et al. 1985<br> *OB* 7q31.3 Zhang et al. 1994 Zhang et al. 1994 Lipoprotein lipase *LPL* 8p22 Sparkes et al. 1987<br>  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor *ADRB3* 8p11-12 Bruskiewich et al. 1  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor *ADRB3* 8p11-12 Bruskiewich et al. 1996<br>Prader-Willi *PWS* 15q11-13 Magenis et al. 1990 Magenis et al. 1990 **Hepatic lipase** *LIPC* 15q21 Sparkes et al. 1987 Glycogen synthase *GYS* 19q13.3 Lehto et al. 1993 Mouse *agouti* homologue *ASP* 20q11.2 Kwon et al. 1994

homozygous for the extreme-obesity gene than for those other two candidate genes. We cannot rule out one of Table 2 shows that both inferred obesity genes in- moderate-obesity genes, but the strongest evidence, a of the genes on insulin levels is larger than the effect on Likewise the sib-pair analysis provided little support for

et al. 1990; Moll et al. 1991; Ness et al. 1991; Borecki in these pedigrees; LOD scores ranging from  $-4.15$  to et al. 1993; Comuzzie et al. 1995). Nevertheless, one or  $-1.91$  (table 5) excluded seven candidate genes as the both genes inferred herein may be different from thos both genes inferred herein may be different from those

Candidate Gene/ Region	Genetic Marker(s)
OBR/DB	D1\$193, D1\$168, D1\$161, D1\$162, D1\$200
<b>TNFA</b>	D6S299, TNFA, D6S291
OB	D7S466, D7S514, D7S530
LPL.	LPL.
ADRB3	D8S87, FGFR1, D8S532
PWS	D <sub>15</sub> S <sub>128</sub> , D <sub>15</sub> S <sub>97</sub> , D <sub>15S165</sub>
LIPC.	LIPC
<b>GYS</b>	<b>GYS</b>
ASP	D20S45, D20S106, SRC

obesity gene inferred herein.<br>
kg/m<sup>2</sup> for the extreme-obesity locus exceeds previous<br>
kg/m<sup>2</sup> for the extreme-obesity locus exceeds previous<br>
estimates of 32–35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Price et al. 1990; Moll et al.<br>
1991), although the well-supported moderate-obesity locus does not. Sec-<br>ond, some studies failed to infer recessive inheritance<br>the candidate genes, using a genetic model, we also used ond, some studies failed to infer recessive inheritance the candidate genes, using a genetic model, we also used without the inclusion of genotype-specific gender and/ a nonparametric method that does not require the speci without the inclusion of genotype-specific gender and/ a nonparametric method that does not require the speci-<br>or age effects (Tiret et al. 1992; Borecki et al. 1993; fication of a genetic model, and we allowed for locus Comuzzie et al. 1995), which we did not find necessary. heterogeneity when using the parametric method; our Third, we inferred genes for obesity in adulthood, but conclusion, from the results of the segregation analysis, other samples included children (Price et al. 1990; Moll that obesity results from two maior loci did not mod other samples included children (Price et al. 1990; Moll that obesity results from two major loci did not modify et al. 1991; Borecki et al. 1993): child- our expectation that multiple loci with different modes hood obesity does not necessarily predict obesity in of inheritance underlie obesity; the consistent inference, adulthood (Gasser et al. 1995). Finally, the loci inferred by use of segregation analysis, of recessive inheritance herein may produce obesity and NIDDM pleiotropi- may result partially from recent increases in the prevacally, which is less likely in the other samples, which lence of obesity (Price et al. 1994). Unfortunately, none were not ascertained through NIDDM cases.  $\qquad \qquad$  of the methods of linkage analysis implicated any of the

**Table 4** tween the two possibilities—that is, the inferred genes Genetic Markers Used to Test Linkage to the Obesity Candidate Genes/Regions context of NIDDM pleiotropically, or the in-<br>Genes/Regions context of NIDDM in susceptible individuals. The increased prevalence of NIDDM among individuals homozygous for the putative genes is consistent with either possibility. The hyperinsulinemia observed in nondiabetic homozygotes may predict the development of NIDDM consistent with pleiotropy but, instead, is probably simply a physiological correlate of obesity (Ferrannini *ADRB3 D8S87, FGFR1, D8S532* 1995); fasting and 1-h insulin levels, after adjustment for BMI, showed no elevation in homozygotes (data not<br>shown). Therefore, the recessive inheritance inferred for<br>high fasting insulin levels, adjusted for BMI, in nondiabetic members of a subset of these pedigrees (Schumacher et al. 1992) undoubtedly is not due to either

fication of a genetic model, and we allowed for locus our expectation that multiple loci with different modes Unfortunately, this analysis cannot distinguish be- tested obesity candidate genes, although we cannot rule

# **Table 5**





NOTE.—The LOD and *Z* scores given are for tight linkage to the candidate gene when among the markers or, otherwise, for the highest LOD score or *Z* score between the two outside markers.

<sup>a</sup>  $\alpha$  is the estimate of the proportion of linked pedigrees and LOD<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> is the LOD score when heterogeneity is assumed.

<sup>b</sup> The maximum-likelihood variance LOD score and the nonparametric QTL Z score are given (Kruglyak et al. 1995).

out a rare defect, in one of the genes, underlying the Homozygous lipoprotein lipase deficiency causes masobesity in only one or two of the pedigrees. sive accumulation of chylomicrons in plasma and a cor-

tion in obesity, in NIDDM, or in related traits to genetic tion; patients present in childhood with abdominal pain variation in the obesity candidate genes studied here, and pancreatitis (Brunzell 1995). Heterozygotes may through linkage or association studies or through muta- have moderate lipid abnormalities (Wilson et al. 1990; tion screening. Of the obesity candidate genes consid-<br>Miesenböck et al. 1993; Tenkanen et al. 1994). Likeered here, *OB* is the most likely to be involved in human wise, other polymorphisms in *LPL* associate more obesity, with a LOD score of 3.1 for linkage to extremity strongly with lipid levels than with obesity or with skinfold in Mexican-Americans (Duggirala et al. 1996), NIDDM (Ahn et al. 1993; Elbein et al. 1994*b;* Jemaa with suggestive evidence of linkage to obesity in the et al. 1995; Ukkola et al. 1995), and linkage to fat mass French (Clement et al. 1996), and with weak evidence (Comuzzie et al. 1995) and NIDDM (Elbein et al. 1995; of linkage to obesity in a United States sample (Reed et Stern et al. 1996) has been rejected, although association al. 1996), although linkage in Pima Indians was rejected with NIDDM has been reported (Wang et al. 1996). (Norman et al. 1996). Nevertheless, a causative *OB* mu- Homozygous hepatic lipase deficiency is much rarer tation has yet to be found in any obese subject (Consid- than homozygous lipoprotein lipase deficiency. Heteroine et al. 1996*b;* Maffei et al. 1996; Niki et al. 1996), zygotes have variable phenotypes, without a specific suggesting that if *OB* mutations exist in humans, then lipid abnormality (Hegele et al. 1993). they are rare. In addition, NIDDM does not show link- Among the other obesity candidate genes, linkage of age to *OB* (Stirling et al. 1995), even in the sample *TNFA* to the percentage of body fat in Pima Indians is showing linkage to extremity skinfold (Duggirala et al. weakly supported (Norman et al. 1995), but no variabil-1996). **ity in the** *TNFA* promoter has been found in NIDDM

involvement in human obesity. The Trp64Arg mutation linkage to NIDDM (Elbein et al. 1995). No evidence of associates with obesity (Kadowaki et al. 1995; Kuraba- linkage to obesity, to NIDDM, or to related traits has yashi et al. 1996), an increased capacity to gain weight been found for *OBR/DB* (Considine et al. 1996*a;* Dug- (Cle´ment et al. 1995; Fujisawa et al. 1996), low resting girala et al. 1996; Norman et al. 1996), *PWS* (Reed et metabolic rates (Walston et al. 1995), abdominal obesity al. 1995), or *ASP* (Xu et al. 1995; Duggirala et al. 1996; (Widén et al. 1995), and susceptibility to NIDDM (Fuji- Norman et al. 1996). sawa et al. 1996). Despite these associations, Candelore Whether any of these nine candidate genes play a role et al. (1996) found that *ADRB3* with the Trp64Arg mu- in human obesity or in NIDDM is still an open question. tation is pharmacologically and functionally indistin- Defects in *OB* may contribute to obesity in some populaguishable from wild-type *ADRB3.* The effects of the tions, but that conclusion awaits confirmation. *ADRB3* Trp64Arg mutation are possibly more subtle than the may contribute to variation in obesity, but the effect tested effects, or the Trp64Arg mutation is not causative appears to be small. This study rules out a major role but is in linkage disequilibrium with a causative mutation. in obesity in northern Europeans for either *OB* or On the other hand, Li et al. (1996) found no evidence of *ADRB3* or for any of the other candidate genes that we association with obesity for the Trp64Arg mutation, in tested. We also found no evidence, in these pedigrees, Sweden, and we found no evidence of association with of linkage of NIDDM to any of the candidate genes. obesity for the Trp64Arg mutation and no evidence of linkage of *ADRB3* to obesity or to NIDDM, in the pedigrees in this study (Elbein et al. 1996).<br>*GYS* also has been subjected to association studies **Acknowledgments** 

but with much weaker support. Association of the  $A_2$  This work was supported by the research service of the age to NIDDM is not supported (Elbein et al. 1994*a*). by Public Health Service grant 5-P30-HG00199 (to M.F.L.).

Other investigators have attempted to attribute varia- responding increase of plasma triglyceride concentra-

After *OB, ADRB3* shows the strongest evidence of patients (Hamann et al. 1995), and we did not find

allele of an Xba1 polymorphism, with individuals with Department of Veterans Affairs and by grants DK39311 (to NIDDM or with a family history of NIDDM, has been S.C.E.) and HD17463 (to S.J.H.) from the NIH (the National<br>reported in a Finnish population (Groop et al. 1993. Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and reported in a Finnish population (Groop et al. 1993;<br>Schalin-Jäntti et al. 1996) but not in the French (Zouali<br>et al. 1993) or the Japanese (Kadowaki et al. 1993).<br>Association of a simple tandem repeat with NIDDM has<br>been phisms have not been found (Bjørbæk et al. 1994). Link- part, from Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA42014 and We thank Kimberley Wegner and Cindy Miles for patient sam- Curtis D, Gurling H (1993) A procedure for combining twopling and Teresa Maxwell for preparation of DNA samples. point lod scores into a summary multipoint map. Hum

- Ahn YI, Kamboh MI, Hamman RF, Cole SA, Ferrell RE (1993) Duggirala R, Stern MP, Mitchell BD, Reinhart LJ, Shipman
- Bjørbæk C, Echwald SM, Hubricht P, Vestergaard H, Hansen J Hum Genet 59:694–703 T, Zierath J, Pedersen O (1994) Genetic variants in promot- Eckel RH (1989) Lipoprotein lipase: a multifunctional enzyme the insulin-responsive GLUT4 genes in NIDDM. Diabetes 1060-1068 43:976–983 Elbein SC, Chiu KC, Hoffman MD, Mayorga RA, Bragg KL,
- 
- Borecki IB, Bonney GE, Rice T, Bouchard C, Rao DC (1993) 1259-1265 Influence of genotype-dependent effects of covariates on the Elbein SC, Hoffman M, Barrett K, Wegner K, Miles C, Bach-
- lar markers. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 19 Suppl:S10- ling pair. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 81:4422-4427
- CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D (eds) The metabolic and familial NIDDM. Diabetes 43:1061 –1065
- polymorphisms places three human gene loci on the 8p link- docrinol Metab 79:1450–1456
- Candelore MR, Deng L, Tota LM, Kelly LJ, Cascieri MA, analysis of pedigree data. Hum Hered 21:523 –542 Endocrinology  $137:2638 - 2641$
- 687–690 1221–1229
- Clément K, Vaisse C, Manning BStJ, Basdevant A, Guy-Grand Ferrannini E (1995) Physiological and metabolic consequences<br>B, Ruiz J, Silver KD, et al (1995) Genetic variation in the of obesity. Metabolism 44 Suppl 3:15–17 B, Ruiz J, Silver KD, et al (1995) Genetic variation in the  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor and an increased capacity to gain Fujisawa T, Ikegami H, Yamato E, Takekawa K, Nakagawa<br>weight in patients with morbid obesity. N Engl J Med 333: Y, Hamada Y, Oga T, et al (1996) Association of weight in patients with morbid obesity. N Engl J Med 333:
- Comuzzie AG, Blangero J, Mahaney MC, Mitchell BD, Hixson
- Considine RV, Considine EL, Williams CJ, Hyde TM, Caro Gill PE, Murray W, Saunders MA, Wright MH (1986) sence of the *db/db* mouse and *fa/fa* rat mutations. Diabetes Stanford University, Stanford 45:992–994 Groop LC, Kankuri M, Schalin-Ja¨ntti C, Ekstrand A, Nikula-
- human *OB* gene coding region. Biochem Biophys Res Com- 328:10-14 mun 220:735–739 Hamada Y, Ikegami H, Fujioka Y, Yamato E, Takekawa K,
- Hered 43:173 –185
- Donaldson MDC, Chu CE, Cooke A, Wilson A, Greene SA, **References** Stephenson JBP (1994) The Prader-Willi syndrome. Arch Dis Child 70:58–63
	- Two DNA polymorphisms in the lipoprotein lipase gene PA, Uresandi OC, Chung WK, et al (1996) Quantitative and their associations with factors related to cardiovascular variation in obesity-related traits and insulin precursors disease. J Lipid Res 34:421 –428 linked to the *OB* gene region on human chromosome 7. Am
	- ers and coding regions of the muscle glycogen synthase and relevant to common metabolic diseases. N Engl J Med 320:
- Boyle CR, Elston RC (1979) Multifactorial genetic models for Leppert MF (1995) Linkage analysis of 19 candidate regions quantitative traits in humans. Biometrics 35:55-68 for insulin resistance in familial NIDDM. Diabetes 44:
- outcome of segregation analysis of the body mass index. man K, Berkowitz D, et al (1996) Role of the  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic<br>receptor locus in obesity and noninsulin-dependent diabetes receptor locus in obesity and noninsulin-dependent diabetes Bouchard C (1995) Genetics of obesity: an update on molecu- among members of Caucasian families with a diabetic sib-
- S13 Elbein SC, Hoffman M, Ridinger D, Otterud B, Leppert M Brunzell JD (1995) Familial lipoprotein lipase deficiency and (1994*a*) Description of a second microsatellite marker and other causes of the chylomicronemia syndrome. In: Scriver linkage analysis of the muscle glycogen synthase locus in
- molecular basis of inherited disease, 7th ed. McGraw-Hill, Elbein SC, Yeager C, Kwong LK, Lingam A, Inoue I, Lalouel New York, pp 1913–1932 **JM, Wilson DE** (1994*b*) Molecular screening of the lipopro-Bruskiewich R, Everson T, Ma L, Chan L, Schertzer M, Gia- tein lipase gene in hypertriglyceridemic members of familial cobino J-P, Muzzin P, et al (1996) Analysis of CA repeat noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus families. J Clin En
	- age map. Cytogenet Cell Genet 73:331–333 Elston RC, Stewart J (1971) A general model for the genetic
	- Strader CD (1996) Pharmacological characterization of a Emorine L, Blin N, Strosberg AD (1994) The human  $\beta_3$ -adre-<br>recently described human  $\beta_3$ -adrenergic receptor mutant. noceptor: the search for a physiological fu noceptor: the search for a physiological function. Trends<br>Pharmacol Sci 15:3-7
- Clement K, Garner C, Hager J, Philippi A, LeDuc C, Carey Felber JP, Haesler E, Jequier E (1993) Metabolic origin of A, Harris TJ, et al (1996) Indication for linkage of the hu- insulin resistance in obesity with and without type 2 (non – man OB gene region with extreme obesity. Diabetes 45: insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 36:
	-
	- 352–354 mutation of the b3-adrenergic receptor with NIDDM and
	- JE, Samollow PB, Stern MP, et al (1995) Major gene with Gasser T, Ziegler P, Seifert B, Molinari L, Largo RH, Prader sex-specific effects influences fat mass in Mexican Ameri- A (1995) Prediction of adult skinfolds and body mass from cans. Genet Epidemiol 12:475–488 infancy through adolescence. Ann Hum Biol 22:217–233
	- JF (1996*a*) The hypothalamic leptin receptor in humans: NPSOL: a fortran package for nonlinear programming. identification of incidental sequence polymorphisms and ab- Tech rep SOL 86-2, Department of Operations Research,
- Considine RV, Considine EL, Williams CJ, Nyce MR, Zhang Ijäs P, Widén E, Kuismanen E, et al (1993) Association P, Opentanova I, Ohannesian JP, et al (1996*b*) Mutation between polymorphism of the glycogen synthase gene and screening and identification of a sequence variation in the non –insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med
	-

Fujisawa T, Nakagawa Y, et al (1995) The glycogen syn- model for complex segregation analysis. Am J Hum Genet thase gene in NIDDM and hypertension. Diabetologia 38: 35:816–826 1249–1250 Lee GH, Proenca R, Montez JM, Carroll KM, Darvishzadeh

- netic variability in the TNF-α promoter is not associated leptin receptor in *diabetic* mice. Nature 379:632–635<br>with type II diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). Biochem Biophys Lehto M, Stoffel M, Groop L, Espinosa R III, Le Beau with type II diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). Biochem Biophys
- implications from molecular biology, epidemiology, and ex- nomics 15:461 –463 perimental studies in humans and animals. Synopsis of the Li LS, Lönnqvist F, Luthman H, Arner P (1996) Phenotypic on Obesity, Boston, Massachusetts. Diabetes Care 18 Suppl subjects. Diabetologia 39:857 –860 2:A2–A9 Maffei M, Stoffel M, Barone M, Moon B, Dammerman M,
- multivariate data. Genet Epidemiol 10:145-158 45:679-682
- 
- exhibiting major locus inheritance. Genet Epidemiol 6:319 sequences. Am J Med Genet 35:333 –349
- Hegele RA, Little JA, Vezina C, Maguire GF, Tu L, Wolever B, Sandhofer F, Patsch JR (1993) Heterozygous lipoprotein rioscler Thromb 13:720 –728 abnormalities. J Clin Invest 91:448 –455
- 
- Jemaa R, Tuzet S, Portos C, Betoulle D, Apfelbaum M, Fum- 1255 obese people. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 19:270 –274 J Hum Genet 26:489 –503
- Commun 215:555–560 6373
- Kadowaki T, Kadowaki H, Yazaki Y (1993) Polymorphism Ness R, Laskarzewski P, Price RA (1991) Inheritance of exof the glycogen synthase gene and non –insulin-dependent treme overweight in black families. Hum Biol 63:39 –52
- Kruglyak L, Lander ES (1995) Complete multipoint sib-pair H, Araki S, Masugi J, et al (1996) Human obese gene: molec-Genet 57:439-454 tients associated with obesity. Diabetes 45:675-678
- sented in obese women: effects on weight, BMI, abdominal fat, blood pressure, and reproductive history in an elderly Norman RA, Leibel RL, Chung WK, Power-Kehoe L, Chua
- Kuroyama H, Sanke T, Ohagi S, Furuta M, Furuta H, Nanjo of linkage of obesity and energy metabolism to markers human glycogen synthase gene is associated with NIDDM ans. Diabetes 45:1229–1232 in Japanese subjects. Diabetologia 37:536 –539 Ott J (1983) Linkage analysis and family classification under
- Kwon HY, Bultman SJ, Löffler C, Chen WJ, Furdon PJ, Powell heterogeneity. Ann Hum Genet 47:311-320
- Lalouel JM, Rao DC, Morton NE, Elston RC (1983) A unified Biol  $66:251-274$

- Hamann A, Mantzoros C, Vidal-Puig A, Flier JS (1995) Ge- JG, Lee JI, Friedman JM (1996) Abnormal splicing of the
- Res Commun 211:833–839 Bell GI (1993) Assignment of the gene encoding glycogen Hansen BC (1995) Obesity, diabetes, and insulin resistance: synthase (GYS) to human chromosome 19, band q13.3. Ge-
	- American Diabetes Association's 29th Research Symposium characterization of the Trp64Arg polymorphism in the and Satellite Conference of the 7th International Congress beta<sub>3</sub>-adrenergic receptor gene in normal weight and obese
- Hasstedt SJ (1993) Variance components/major locus likeli- Ravussin E, Bogardus C, et al (1996) Absence of mutations hood approximation for quantitative, polychotomous, and in the human *OB* gene in obese/diabetic subjects. Diabetes
- (1994) PAP: pedigree analysis package, rev 4. Depart- Magenis RE, Toth-Fejel S, Allen LJ, Black M, Brown MG, ment of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City Budden S, Cohen R, et al (1990) Comparison of the 15q Hasstedt SJ, Moll PP (1989) Estimation of genetic model pa- deletions in Prader-Willi and Angelman syndromes: specific rameters: variables correlated with a quantitative phenotype regions, extent of deletions, parental origin, and clinical con-
	- 332 Miesenböck G, Hölzl B, Föger B, Brandstätter E, Paulweber TS, Jenkins DJA, et al (1993) Hepatic lipase deficiency: clini- lipase deficiency due to a missense mutation as the cause cal, biochemical, and molecular genetic characteristics. Arte- of impaired triglyceride tolerance with multiple lipoprotein
- Hotamisligil GS, Spiegelman BM (1994) Tumor necrosis fac-<br>Moll PP, Burns TL, Lauer RM (1991) The genetic and environtor  $\alpha$ : a key component of the obesity-diabetes link. Diabetes mental sources of body mass index variability: the Musca-<br>43:1271–1278 eine ponderosity family study. Am J Hum Genet 49:1243– tine ponderosity family study. Am J Hum Genet 49:1243–
	- eron F (1995) Lipoprotein lipase gene polymorphisms: asso- Morton NE, MacLean CJ (1974) Analysis of family resemciations with hypertriglyceridemia and body mass index in blance. III. Complex segregation of quantitative traits. Am
- Kadowaki H, Yasuda K, Iwamoto K, Otabe S, Shimokawa K, Nedwin GE, Naylor SL, Sakaguchi AY, Smith D, Jarrett-Ned-Silver K, Walston J, et al (1995) A mutation in the  $\beta_3$ - win J, Pennica D, Goeddel DV, et al (1985) Human lympho-<br>adrenergic receptor gene is associated with obesity and hy- toxin and tumor necrosis factor genes: struc toxin and tumor necrosis factor genes: structure, homology perinsulinemia in Japanese subjects. Biochem Biophys Res and chromosomal localization. Nucleic Acids Res 13:6361 –
	-
	- diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 328:1568–1569 Niki T, Mori H, Tamori Y, Kishimoto-Hashirmoto M, Ueno analysis of qualitative and quantitative traits. Am J Hum ular screening in Japanese and Asian Indian NIDDM pa-
- Kurabayashi T, Carey DGP, Morrison NA (1996) The b3- Norman RA, Bogardus C, Ravussin E (1995) Linkage between obesity and a marker near the tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  locus<br>in Pima Indians. J Clin Invest 96:158-162
	- Australian population. Diabetes 45:1358–1363 SC Jr, Knowler WC, Thompson DB, et al (1996) Absence K (1994) Simple tandem repeat DNA polymorphism in the flanking homologues of rodent obesity genes in Pima Indi-
		-
	- JG, Usala AL, et al (1994) Molecular structure and chromo- Price RA, Charles MA, Pettitt DJ, Knowler WC (1994) Obesity somal mapping of the human homolog of the agouti gene. in Pima Indians: genetic segregation analyses of body mass Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:9760–9764 index complicated by temporal increases in obesity. Hum
- Price RA, Ness R, Laskarzewski P (1990) Common major gene mutant gene in a heterozygous state. J Lipid Res 35:220 inheritance of extreme overweight. Hum Biol 62:747–765 228
- 
- obesity does not segregate with the chromosomal regions 403 of Prader-Willi, Bardet-Biedl, Cohen, Borjeson or Wilson- Ukkola O, Savolainen MJ, Salmela PI, von Dickhoff K, Kesa-
- P, Syvänne M, Lehtovirta MT, et al (1996) Polymorphism 115:99-105 of the glycogen synthase gene in hypertensive and normoten- Walston J, Silver K, Bogardus C, Knowler WC, Celi FS, Austin
- SC (1992) Major gene effect for insulin levels in familial NIDDM pedigrees. Diabetes 41:416–423 Wang XL, McCredie RM, Wilcken DEL (1996) Common
- glycogen synthase and insulin receptor substrate-1 genes in Circulation 93:1339 –1345 Japanese subjects with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mel-<br>Widén E, Lehto M, Kanninan T, Walson J, Shuldiner AR,
- Sec Int Congr Hum Genet 1:212–213 tance syndrome in Finns. N Engl J Med 333:348–351
- Genomics 1:138–144 Invest 86:735–750
- Stern MP, Mitchell BD, Blangero J, Reinhart L, Kammerer Xu W, Reed DR, Ding Y, Price RA (1995) Absence of linkage selected candidate genes in Mexican-Americans. Diabetes 20q. Obes Res 3:559 –562
- Stirling B, Cox NJ, Bell GI, Hanis CL, Spielman RS, Concan- Obesity, diabetes, and neoplasia in yellow A<sup>vy</sup>/- mice: ectopic non P (1995) Identification of microsatellite markers near expression of the *agouti* gene. FASEB J 8:479–488
- Tartaglia LA, Dembski M, Weng X, Deng N, Culpepper J, Hum Genet 43:705–708
- Tenkanen H, Taskinen M-R, Antikainen M, Ulmanen I, Kon- and its human homologue. Nature 372:425 –432 tula K, Ehnholm C (1994) A novel amino acid substitution Zouali H, Velho G, Froguel P (1993) Polymorphism of the in loss of catalytic activity: phenotypic expression of the mellitus. N Engl J Med 328:1568

- Reed DR, Ding Y, Xu W, Cather C, Green ED, Price RA Tiret L, André J-L, Ducimetière P, Herbeth B, Rakotovao R, (1996) Extreme obesity may be linked to markers flanking Guegen R, Spyckerelle Y, et al (1992) Segregation analysis of the human *OB* gene. Diabetes 45:691-694 height-adjusted weight with generation- and age-dependent Reed DR, Ding Y, Xu W, Cather C, Price RA (1995) Human effects: the Nancy family study. Genet Epidemiol 9:398-
- Turner syndromes. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 19:599 niemi YA (1995) DNA polymorphisms at the lipoprotein 603 lipase gene are associated with macroangiopathy in type 2 Schalin-Jäntti C, Nikula-Ijäs P, Huang X, Lehto M, Knudsen (non –insulin-dependent) diabetes mellites. Atherosclerosis
- sive subjects. Hypertension 27:67-71 S, Manning B, et al (1995) Time of onset of non-insulin-Schumacher MC, Hasstedt SJ, Hunt SC, Williams RR, Elbein dependent diabetes mellitus and genetic variation in the  $\beta_3$ -<br>SC (1992) Major gene effect for insulin levels in familial adrenergic-receptor gene. N Engl J Med 3
- Shimokawa K, Kadowaki H, Sakura H, Otabe S, Hagura R, DNA polymorphisms at the lipoprotein lipase gene: associa-Kosaka K, Yazaki Y, et al (1994) Molecular scanning of the tion with severity of coronary artery disease and diabetes.
- litus. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 202:463–469 Groop LC (1995) Association of a polymorphism in the  $\beta_3$ -<br>Smith CAB (1961) Homogeneity test for linkage data. Proc adrenergic-receptor gene with features of the insulin res adrenergic-receptor gene with features of the insulin resis-
- Sparkes RS, Zollman S, Klisak I, Kirchgessner TG, Komaromy Wilson DE, Emi M, Iverius P-H, Hata A, Wu LL, Hillas E, MC, Mohandas T, Schotz MC, et al (1987) Human genes Williams RR, et al (1990) Phenotypic expression of heteroinvolved in lipolysis of plasma lipoproteins: mapping of loci zygous lipoprotein lipase deficiency in the extended pedigree for lipoprotein lipase to  $8p22$  and hepatic lipase to  $15q21$ . of a proband homozygous for a missense mutation. J Clin
	- CM, Harrison CR, Shipman PA, et al (1996) Evidence for between human obesity and the mouse agouti homologous a major gene for type II diabetes and linkage analyses with region (20q11.2) or other markers spanning chromosome
	- 45:563–568 Yen TT, Gill AM, Frigeri LG, Barsh GS, Wolff GL (1994)
	- the human *ob* gene and linkage studies in NIDDM-affected Young MR, Boehnke M, Moll PP (1988) Correcting for single sib pairs. Diabetes 44:999 –1001 ascertainment by truncation for a quantitative trait. Am J
	- Devos R, Richards GJ, et al (1995) Identification and expres- Zhang Y, Proenca R, Maffei M, Barone M, Leopold L, Friedsion cloning of a leptin receptor, OB-R. Cell 83:1263–1271 man JM (1994) Positional cloning of the mouse obese gene
	- $(His183\rightarrow Gln)$  in exon 5 of the lipoprotein lipase gene results glycogen synthase gene and non-insulin-dependent diabetes